

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS,

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/586,214 06/02/00 OTT T 10191/1431 **EXAMINER** 026646 PM82/0625 **KENYON & KENYON** HERNANDEZ.O ONE BROADWAY ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER NEW YORK NY 10004 3661 DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

06/25/01

		Application No.		Applicant(s)	<del></del>
Office Action Summary		09/586,214		OTT ET AL.	
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Olga Hernandez		3661	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02	June 2000 .			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Th	his action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,8</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-7 and 9</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. \$ 119					
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. <b>\$</b> 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
Attachment(s)					
16) X No	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	18)	Notice of Inform	ary (PTO-413) Pape al Patent Application	

Art Unit: 3661

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Oath/Declaration

1. The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because it does not identify who is the first and second inventor.

### Drawings

- 2. The drawings are objected to because
- A) the applicant did not label any of the components in figure 1.

  Correction is required.

### Specification

3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3661

5. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sigl, US

Patent no. 5,794,735.

As per claim 1, Sigl discloses how to

- determine the actual speed of he vehicle;
- predefine a set-point speed;
- detect whether the vehicle is travelling on a descent;
- calculate at least one manipulated variable based on the actual speed and the set-point speed only when the vehicle is detected as traveling on a descent;
   and
- influence the actual speed of the vehicle on the basis of the at least one manipulated variable (column 3, lines 1-67 and column 4, lines 1-2).

As per claim 2, Sigl discloses at least that one manipulated variable is calculated only when one switch is activated.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sigl, US Patent no. 5,794,735.

As per claim 8, Sigl discloses how to:

Art Unit: 3661

- determine the actual speed of he vehicle;

- predefine a set-point speed;
- detect whether the vehicle is travelling on a descent;
- calculate at least one manipulated variable based on the actual speed and the set-point speed only when the vehicle is detected as traveling on a descent;
   and
- influence the actual speed of the vehicle on the basis of the at least one manipulated variable (column 3, lines 1-67 and column 4, lines 1-2).

## Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 3-7 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - Nishimura et al., US Patent no. 5,197,564 discloses a cruise control apparatus for a vehicle.
  - Claussen et al., US Patent no. 6,233,514 discloses a method for controlling the downhill speed of an off-the-road vehicle.

Art Unit: 3661

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olga Hernandez whose telephone number is (703) 305-0918. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William A. Cuchlinski can be reached on (703) 308-3873. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Olga Hernandez Examiner

Art Unit 3661

e 18, 2001

WILLIAM A. CUCHLINSKI, JR. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600